

SPONSOR: Sen. McBride & Rep. Longhurst & Rep. Mitchell Sens. Henry, Poore, Bonini, Cloutier, Lavelle; Reps. Keeley, B. Short, D. Short

DELAWARE STATE SENATE 149th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 33

CREATING AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW IMPROVEMENT TASK FORCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF REVIEWING AND IMPROVING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT, PROCESSES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS BY ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS, AND PROCESSES FOR DISPUTES INVOLVING LAND USE AND REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING THE LANDLORD TENANT CODE.

2of an overall effort to modernize and make more efficient and effective its system of government; and3WHEREAS, the APA was based in large part on the Model State Administrative Procedures Act as adopted by the4Uniform Law Commission; and5WHEREAS, there have been several efforts to modernize the Model State Administrative Procedures Act over the6last forty years, but Delaware has not comprehensively reviewed the APA since its original enactment; and7WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to8alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and10WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within11the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise13WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation14of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area15of administrative law; and16WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving17agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA18centered administrative law; and19WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating20and costly to Delawar	1	WHEREAS, in 1976, the State of Delaware first adopted the Administrative Procedures Act (the "APA") as part
4Uniform Law Commission; and5WHEREAS, there have been several efforts to modernize the Model State Administrative Procedures Act over the6last forty years, but Delaware has not comprehensively reviewed the APA since its original enactment; and7WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to8alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and9effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and10WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within11the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise12assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and13WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation14of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area15of administrative law; and16WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving17agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA18centered administrative law; and19WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating20and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of co	2	of an overall effort to modernize and make more efficient and effective its system of government; and
5WHEREAS, there have been several efforts to modernize the Model State Administrative Procedures Act over the6last forty years, but Delaware has not comprehensively reviewed the APA since its original enactment; and7WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to8alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and9effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and10WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within11the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise12assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and13WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation14of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area15of administrative law; and16WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving18agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative law system can be frustrating19WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating20and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	3	WHEREAS, the APA was based in large part on the Model State Administrative Procedures Act as adopted by the
 last forty years, but Delaware has not comprehensively reviewed the APA since its original enactment; and WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	4	Uniform Law Commission; and
 WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	5	WHEREAS, there have been several efforts to modernize the Model State Administrative Procedures Act over the
 alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	6	last forty years, but Delaware has not comprehensively reviewed the APA since its original enactment; and
 effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	7	WHEREAS, since its enactment in 1976, many regulatory statutes and bodies have been created and subjected to
 WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	8	alternative forms of administrative review outside of or inconsistent with the APA, thereby undermining the efficiency and
 the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	9	effectiveness the APA sought to achieve; and
assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	10	WHEREAS, jurisdiction over appeals from state or county level administrative agencies has been allocated within
WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	11	the Judiciary in inefficient ways, resulting in instances of courts having overlapping jurisdiction and jurisdiction otherwise
of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	12	assigned in a manner inconsistent with the traditional areas of practice for the court; and
 of administrative law; and WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	13	WHEREAS, the proliferation of bespoke regulatory statutes that do not follow the APA and the erratic allocation
WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	14	of administrative law jurisdiction among various courts have resulted in a lack of consistency and reliability in the key area
17 agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA 18 centered administrative law; and 19 WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating 20 and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	15	of administrative law; and
 centered administrative law; and WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	16	WHEREAS, the inconsistent approaches have a negative effect on administrative agency performance, leaving
 WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the 	17	agency staff responsible for applying statutes and holding administrative hearings without a consistent body of APA
20 and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the	18	centered administrative law; and
	19	WHEREAS, the complexity, inconsistency, and long timelines for our administrative law system can be frustrating
21 detriment of job creation in our state; and	20	and costly to Delaware businesses, discouraging commercial investment and the expansion of commercial operations, to the
	21	detriment of job creation in our state; and

WHEREAS, there is currently a lack of consistent expedited processes for critical issues before administrative tribunals or appeals thereof, which creates significant uncertainty to the detriment of Delaware's economy; and

WHEREAS, the persistence of these practices is costly in terms of efficiency, as the courts sometimes struggle with a wide variety of regulatory schemes and jurisdictional idiosyncrasies, rather than focusing on the merits of the claims before them; and

WHEREAS, the complexity of our administrative law system can lead to citizens, businesses, and community organizations not understanding how to assert their rights, thus diminishing confidence in the rule of law; and

WHEREAS, by taking a fresh look at this system, we can better serve the people of Delaware by rationalizing administrative law review: (1) to apply one consistent, backbone approach to administrative review when practicable; (2) to allocate administrative law review in the Judiciary in a clear and efficient manner to avoid overlap, confusion, and waste; and (3) to increase fairness by reducing the extent to which cases are resolved on technicalities or statutory quirks, rather than on substantive merit; and

34 WHEREAS, consistent with this effort, it is also critical to look at the most important stage in the administrative

35 law process, which is the initial agency hearing; and

WHEREAS, many of these hearings are presided over by hearing officers with ongoing ties to an agency that is
 also a litigant in the case, leading to a perception that the hearing officer may not be neutral; and

WHEREAS, the current system also strains agency resources, as not all agencies have the resources necessary to
 handle hearings effectively, and thus administrative law decision quality varies widely; and

WHEREAS, at the federal level and in other states, approaches have been taken to ensure that neutral, experienced hearing officers, without dependence on any litigant agency, are employed to improve the quality, neutrality, and consistency of administrative agency determinations; and

43 WHEREAS, Delaware could benefit by examining these approaches and moving toward a system of neutral,

44 expert hearing officers, whose principal function is delivering high-quality administrative law decisions; and

45 WHEREAS, Delaware practitioners and Judiciary members have begun to review the APA, subsequent model 46 acts, and similar provisions in other states in an effort to start the process of modernizing the APA; and

WHEREAS, to properly modernize Delaware's administrative law system, including allocating jurisdiction more rationally within the judicial branch, it is important to conduct a comprehensive study of which various administrative agencies hold hearings and, relatedly, the extent to which those hearings result in appeals to the courts; and

50 WHEREAS, because these subjects are critical to Delawareans, they should be examined by a group comprised of

administrative law experts and citizens, representing a diverse range of Delawareans whose lives are affected by our

52 administrative law system, including representatives of environmental, consumer, and community groups, the business

53 community, and legal services organizations;

54 NOW, THEREFORE:

58

72

77

78

79

80

55 BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the 149th General Assembly of the State of Delaware, the House of 56 Representatives concurring therein, that the Administrative Law Improvement Task Force is hereby created and that:

- 57 1. The Administrative Law Improvement Task Force shall be comprised of the following members:
 - a. A Chairperson and Co-Chairperson shall be appointed by the Governor from among the members;
- b. One member appointed by the Pro Tempore of the Senate and one member appointed by the Minority
 Leader of the Senate;
- c. One member appointed by the Speaker of the House and one member appointed by the Minority
 Leader of the House;
- d. One representative of the Office of the Governor, appointed by the Governor;
- 64 e. One member representing the Judiciary, appointed by the Chief Justice;
- 65 f. One of the State's Commissioners to the Uniform Law Commission, appointed by the Governor;
- 66 g. One member representing the Department of Justice, appointed by the Attorney General;
- h. One member representing the Department of State, appointed by the Secretary of State; and
- i. Five members of the public appointed by the Governor representing the business community, county
 and municipal government, the environmental advocacy community, legal services for the indigent, and
 other civic organizations concerned about the administrative law system.
- 2. The Administrative Law Improvement Task Force shall be comprised of the following subcommittees:
 - a. The State Regulatory Subcommittee
- 73 i. This subcommittee shall review and provide any comments or suggestions on
 74 modernizing the APA, with a goal of bringing as many agencies as possible under
 75 the governance of the APA as modernized and to ensure that a consistent, high
 76 quality form of administrative law review applies to all statutes.
 - ii. The subcommittee shall review the allocation of administrative law appeals to the courts, with the goal of reducing overlap, inconsistency, and allocating jurisdiction in the manner that best facilitates the expertise and expeditious resolution of administrative law disputes.

81 iii. The subcommittee shall coordinate with the Chief Justice, the Presiding Judges, the 82 Administrative Office of the Courts, the Executive Branch Agencies, and the 83 Attorney General in conducting targeted case load studies to aid the overall work of 84 the Task Force. iv. The subcommittee shall consider, and if deemed advisable, propose a plan for the 85 86 creation of a unit comprised of neutral hearing officers to improve the consistency and fairness of administrative agency rulings. In studying this issue, the 87 88 subcommittee, using the case load study and other inquiries, shall endeavor to 89 identify what resources are currently devoted to the work of conducting and 90 deciding administrative hearings, and develop an efficient plan based on reallocating 91 resources to the extent practicable, so that scarce tax dollars are used cost-92 effectively. 93 b. Subcommittee on Land Use and Real Property 94 i. This subcommittee shall examine whether it is possible to improve the consistency, 95 efficiency, and fairness of decision-making in the important area of land use and real 96 property. 97 ii. The subcommittee shall endeavor to rationalize the jurisdiction of the various courts 98 in this area, and to ensure that appeals in land use cases are handled in a single 99 court, with the capacity and expertise to handle them effectively. 100 iii. The subcommittee shall also examine jurisdictional and appellate issues relating to 101 civil actions, summary possession hearings, and appeals arising under the landlord 102 tenant code, with a view to making its jurisdiction fit coherently with other bodies of 103 law, and to provide a reliable guide to both tenants and landlords regarding where 104 certain disputes are heard. In particular, the goal should be to have all appeals from 105 the Justice of the Peace Court, and related cases, go to a single court. The 106 subcommittee shall consider eliminating the use of outdated writs to better ensure 107 that landlord tenant and land use cases are decided on the merits and not based upon 108 technicalities such as non-compliance with outdated technical procedures.

109	3. The Administrative Law Improvement Task Force may include additional representatives of important
110	constituencies in the work of the Task Force, at the discretion of the Chairs. The Chairs may also identify
111	additional members of the Bar to serve as reporters to the Task Force.
112	4. The Administrative Law Improvement Task Force shall report to the General Assembly and the
113	Governor on or before January 9, 2018 on the following:
114	a. Any suggested revisions to modernize the APA.
115	b. Any fiscal or budget implications resulting from the enactment and implementation of
116	legislation to modernize the APA.
117	c. Any additional statutory changes the Administrative Law Task Force recommends to
118	effectuate the recommendations stemming from the efforts outlined in this resolution.
	SANODSIS

SYNOPSIS

This resolution creates the Administrative Law Improvement Task Force, which is charged with reviewing Delaware's Administrative Procedures Act and other related statutes for the purpose of making recommendations to modernize Delaware's administrative tribunal process, including judicial review of administrative tribunal decisions and processes for disputes involving land use and real property, including the Landlord Tenant Code.

Author: Senator McBride