



SPONSOR: Sen. Richardson & Rep. Dukes
Sens. Bonini, Hocker, Lawson, Pettyjohn, Simpson; Reps.
Briggs King, Collins, Gray, Kenton, Postles, D. Short,
Wilson, Yearick

DELAWARE STATE SENATE
149th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE BILL NO. 205

AN ACT TO AMEND TITLE 24 OF THE DELAWARE CODE RELATING TO UNBORN CHILDREN.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE:

1 Section 1. Amend Chapter 17 of Title 24 of the Delaware Code by deleting Subchapter IX thereof in its entirety
2 and by making deletions as shown by strikethrough and insertions as shown by underline as follows:

3 Subchapter IX. The Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act

4 § 1790. Legislative Findings

5 The General Assembly makes the following findings:

6 (1) Pain receptors (nociceptors) are present throughout the unborn child's entire body no later than sixteen weeks
7 after fertilization and nerves link these receptors to the brain's thalamus and subcortical plate by no later than twenty
8 weeks.

9 (2) By eight weeks after fertilization, the unborn child reacts to touch. After twenty (20) weeks, the unborn child
10 reacts to stimuli that would be recognized as painful if applied to an adult human, for example, by recoiling.

11 (3) In the unborn child, application of painful stimuli is associated with significant increases in stress hormones
12 known as the stress response.

13 (4) Subjection to painful stimuli is associated with long-term harmful neuro developmental effects, such as altered
14 pain sensitivity and, possibly, emotional, behavioral and learning disabilities later in life.

15 (5) For the purposes of surgery on unborn children, fetal anesthesia is routinely administered and is associated
16 with a decrease in stress hormones compared to their level when painful stimuli is applied without the anesthesia.

17 (6) The position, asserted by some medical experts, that the unborn child is incapable of experiencing pain until a
18 point later in pregnancy than twenty weeks after fertilization, predominately rests on the assumption that the ability to
19 experience pain depends on the cerebral cortex and requires nerve connections between the thalamus and the cortex.
20 However, recent medical research and analysis, especially since 2007, provides strong evidence for the conclusion that a
21 functioning cortex is not necessary to experience pain.

22 (7) Substantial evidence indicates that children born missing the bulk of the cerebral cortex, those with
23 hydranencephaly, nevertheless experience pain.

24 (8) In adults, stimulation or ablation of the cerebral cortex does not alter pain perception while stimulation or
25 ablation of the thalamus does.

26 (9) Substantial evidence indicates that structures used for pain processing in early development differ from those
27 of adults, using different neural elements available at specific times during development, such as the subcortical plate, to
28 fulfill the role of pain processing.

29 (10) Consequently, there is substantial medical evidence that an unborn child is capable of experiencing pain by
30 twenty (20) weeks after fertilization. The Legislature has the constitutional authority to make this judgment. As the United
31 States Supreme Court has noted in *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 U.S. 124, 162-64 (2007), “The Court has given state and
32 federal legislatures wide discretion to pass legislation in areas where there is medical and scientific uncertainty....See
33 *Marshall v. United States*, 414 U.S. 417, 427 (1974) (‘When Congress undertakes to act in areas fraught with medical and
34 scientific uncertainties, legislative options must be especially broad.’)...The law need not give abortion doctors unfettered
35 choice in the course of their medical practice, nor should it elevate their status above other physicians in the medical
36 community.... Medical uncertainty does not foreclose the exercise of legislative power in the abortion context any more
37 than it does in other contexts.”

38 (11) It is the purpose of the state to assert a compelling state interest in protecting the lives of unborn children from
39 the stage at which substantial medical evidence indicates that they are capable of feeling pain.

40 (12) The position, asserted by some medical experts, that the unborn child remains in a coma-like sleep state that
41 precludes the unborn child experiencing pain is inconsistent with the documented reaction of unborn children to painful
42 stimuli and with the experience of fetal surgeons who have found it necessary to sedate the unborn child with anesthesia to
43 prevent the unborn child from thrashing about in reaction to invasive surgery.

44 (13) In enacting this legislation Delaware is not asking the Supreme Court to overturn or replace its holding, first
45 articulated in *Roe v. Wade* and reaffirmed in *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*, that the state
46 interest in unborn human life, which is “legitimate” throughout pregnancy, becomes “compelling” at viability. Rather, it
47 asserts a separate and independent compelling state interest in unborn human life that exists once the unborn child is
48 capable of feeling pain, which is asserted not in replacement of, but in addition to Delaware’s compelling state interest in
49 protecting the lives of unborn children from the stage of viability.

50 (14) The United States Supreme Court has established that the “constitutional liberty of the woman to have some
51 freedom to terminate her pregnancy...is not so unlimited...that from the outset the State cannot show its concern for the life

52 of the unborn, and at a later point in fetal development the State’s interest in life has sufficient force so that the right of the
53 woman to terminate the pregnancy can be restricted.” *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*, 505
54 U.S. 833, 869 (1992).

55 (15) The Supreme Court decision upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 U.S.
56 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska’s Partial-Birth Abortion
57 Ban Act. That opinion stated, “[In *Casey*] We held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list
58 of state interests implicated by abortion...*Casey* is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in
59 defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state’s] interests can be given
60 proper weight...States also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State’s reasonable
61 determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life,
62 including life in the human fetus....A state may take measures to ensure the medical profession and its members are viewed
63 as healers, sustained by a compassionate and rigorous ethic and cognizant of the dignity and value of human life, even life
64 which cannot survive without the assistance of others.” *Stenberg v. Carhart*, 350 U.S. 914, 958-59 (2000) (Kennedy, J.
65 dissenting).

66 (16) Mindful of *Leavitt v. Jane L.*, 518 U.S. 137 (1996), in which in the context of determining the severability of
67 a state statute regulating abortion the United States Supreme Court noted that an explicit statement of legislative intent
68 specifically made applicable to a particular statute is of greater weight than a general savings or severability clause, it is the
69 intent of the State that if any one or more provisions, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases or words of this Act
70 or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is found to be unconstitutional, the same is hereby declared to be
71 severable and the balance of this Act shall remain effective notwithstanding such unconstitutionality. Moreover, the State
72 declares that it would have passed this Act, and each provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or word
73 thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more provisions, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases or words,
74 or any of their applications, were to be declared unconstitutional.

75 § 1791 Definitions.

76 For the purposes of this subchapter:

- 77 (1) “Abortion” means the use or prescription of any instrument, medicine, drug, or any other substance or device;
78 “(a) to intentionally kill the unborn child of a women known to be pregnant; or
79 “(b) to intentionally terminate the pregnancy of a woman known to be pregnant, with an intention other
80 than-

81 “(i) after viability to produce a live birth and preserve the life and health of the child born alive;
82 or
83 “(ii) to remove a dead unborn child.”

84 (2) “Attempt to perform or induce and abortion” means an act or an omission of a statutorily required act that,
85 under the circumstances as the person believes them to be, constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to
86 culminate in the performance or induction of an abortion in this state in violation of the applicable provisions of this code.

87 (3) “Fertilization” means the fusion of a human spermatozoon with a human ovum.

88 (4) “Unborn child” or “fetus” each mean an individual organism of the species homo sapiens from fertilization
89 until live birth.

90 (5) “Medical emergency” means a condition that, in reasonable medical judgment, so complicates the medical
91 condition of a pregnant female that it necessitates the immediate abortion of her pregnancy without first determining post-
92 fertilization age to avert her death or for which the delay necessary to determine post-fertilization age will create serious
93 risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or
94 emotional conditions. No condition may be deemed a medical emergency if based on a claim or diagnosis that the woman
95 will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a
96 major bodily function.

97 (6) “Physician” means a person with an unrestricted license to practice allopathic medicine pursuant to article
98 three of chapter thirty of this code or osteopathic medicine pursuant to article fourteen, chapter thirty of this code.

99 (7) “Probable post-fertilization age of the fetus” means what, in reasonable medical judgment and will, with
100 reasonable probability, be the post-fertilization age of the fetus at the time an abortion is planned to be performed or
101 induced.

102 (8) “Reasonable medical judgment” means a medical judgment that would be made by a reasonably prudent
103 physician, knowledgeable about the case and the treatment possibilities with respect to the medical conditions involved.

104 (9) “Post-fertilization age” means the age of the unborn child as calculated from the fusion of a human
105 spermatozoon with a human ovum.

106 (10) “Serious health risk to the unborn child’s mother” means that in reasonable medical judgment she has a
107 condition that so complicates her medical condition that it necessitates the abortion of her pregnancy to avert her death or to
108 avert serious risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including
109 psychological or emotional conditions. No greater risk may be determined to exist if it is based on a claim or diagnosis that

110 the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible physical
111 impairment of a major bodily function.

112 (11) "Department" means the Delaware Department of Health and Social Services.

113 § 1792. Determination of post-fertilization age.

114 Except in the case of a medical emergency, no abortion may be performed or induced or be attempted to be
115 performed or induced unless the physician performing or inducing it has first made a determination of the probable post-
116 fertilization age of the fetus or relied upon such a determination made by another physician. In making this determination,
117 the physician shall make inquiries of the patient and perform or cause to be performed medical examinations and tests as a
118 reasonably prudent physician, knowledgeable about the case and the medical conditions involved, would consider
119 necessary to perform in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to post-fertilization age.

120 § 1793. Abortion of fetus of pain-capable post-fertilization age prohibited.

121 (a) No person may perform or induce, or attempt to perform or induce, an abortion of an unborn child capable of
122 feeling pain unless necessary to prevent serious health risk to the unborn child's mother. No person may perform or induce,
123 or attempt to perform or induce, an abortion when it has been determined, by the physician performing or inducing or
124 attempting to perform or induce the abortion or by another physician upon whose determination that physician relies, that
125 the probable post-fertilization age of the fetus has reached the pain-capable post-fertilization age of twenty or more weeks,
126 unless in reasonable medical judgment there exists a condition of the pregnant woman that, in reasonable medical
127 judgment, so complicates her medical condition as to necessitate the abortion of her pregnancy to avert her death or to avert
128 serious risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or
129 emotional conditions. No condition may be deemed a medical emergency if based on a claim or diagnosis that the woman
130 will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a
131 major bodily function.

132 (b) When an abortion upon a patient whose fetus has been determined to have a probable post-fertilization age that
133 has reached the pain-capable post-fertilization age is not prohibited by subsection (a) of this section, the physician shall
134 terminate the pregnancy in the manner which, in reasonable medical judgment, provides the best opportunity for the fetus to
135 survive, unless, in reasonable medical judgment, termination of the pregnancy in that manner would pose a greater risk
136 either of the death of the patient or of the substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function of the
137 patient than would other available methods. No greater risk may be determined to exist if it is based on a claim or diagnosis
138 that the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible physical
139 impairment of a major bodily function.

140 § 1794. Reporting.

141 (a) Any physician who performs or induces or attempts to perform or induce an abortion shall report to the
142 Department.

143 The reporting shall be on a schedule and on forms set forth by the Secretary of the Department annually, no later
144 than December 31. The reports shall include the following information:

145 (1) Probable post-fertilization age:

146 (a) If a determination of probable post-fertilization age was made, whether ultrasound was employed in making the
147 determination, and the week of probable post-fertilization age determined.

148 (b) If a determination of probable post-fertilization age was not made, the bases of the determination that a medical
149 emergency existed.

150 (2) Method of abortion.

151 (3) If the probable post-fertilization age was determined to have reached the pain-capable post-fertilization age of
152 twenty weeks or greater, the basis of the determination that the patient had a condition which so complicated the medical
153 condition of the patient that it necessitated the abortion of her pregnancy in order to avert her death or avert a serious risk of
154 substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or emotional
155 conditions; and

156 (4) If the probable post-fertilization age was determined to have reached the pain-capable post-fertilization age of
157 twenty weeks or greater, whether the method of abortion used was one that, in reasonable medical judgment, provided the
158 best opportunity for the fetus to survive and, if such a method was not used, the basis of the determination that termination
159 of the pregnancy in that manner would pose a greater risk either of the death of the patient or of the substantial and
160 irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or emotional conditions of the
161 patient than would other available methods.

162 (b) Reports required by subsection (a) of this section may not contain the name or the address of the patient whose
163 pregnancy was terminated, nor may the report contain any information identifying the patient. These reports shall be
164 maintained in strict confidence by the Department, may not be available for public inspection, and may not be made
165 available except pursuant to court order.

166 (c) Beginning June 30, 2019, and annually after that, the Department shall issue a public report providing statistics
167 for the previous calendar year compiled from all of the reports covering that year submitted in accordance with this section
168 for each of the items listed in subsection (a) of this section. Each report shall provide the statistics for all previous calendar
169 years from the effective date of this section, adjusted to reflect any additional information from late or corrected reports.

170 The Department shall take care to ensure that none of the information included in the public reports could reasonably lead
171 to the identification of any patient upon whom an abortion was performed or induced or attempted to be performed or
172 induced.

173 §1895. Penalties.

174 (a) Any person who intentionally or recklessly performs or induces or attempts to perform or induce an abortion in
175 violation of this Act shall be guilty of a class D felony. Any physician or other licensed medical practitioner who
176 intentionally or recklessly performs or induces an abortion in violation of this subchapter is considered to have acted
177 outside the scope of practice permitted by law or otherwise in breach of the standard of care owed to patients and is subject
178 to discipline from the applicable licensure board for that conduct, including, but not limited to, loss of professional license
179 to practice.

180 (b) Any person, not subject to subsection (a) of this section, who intentionally or recklessly performs or induces an
181 abortion in violation of this Subchapter is considered to have engaged in the unauthorized practice of medicine in violation
182 of this Chapter.

183 (c) In addition to the penalties set forth in subsections (a) and (b) of this section, a patient may seek any remedy
184 otherwise available to such patient by applicable law.

185 (d) No penalty may be assessed against any patient upon whom an abortion is performed or induced or attempted
186 to be performed or induced.

187 (e) Any woman upon whom an abortion has been performed or induced in violation of this Act, or the father of the
188 unborn child who was the subject of such an abortion, may maintain an action against the person who performed or induced
189 the abortion in intentional or reckless violation of this Act for actual and punitive damages. Any woman upon whom an
190 abortion has been attempted in violation of this Act may maintain an action against the person who attempted to perform or
191 induce the abortion in an intentional or reckless violation of this Act for actual and punitive damages. No damages may be
192 awarded a plaintiff if the pregnancy resulted from the plaintiff's criminal conduct.

193 (f) A cause of action for injunctive relief against any person who has intentionally or recklessly violated this Act
194 may be maintained (i) by the woman upon whom an abortion was performed or induced or attempted to be performed or
195 induced in violation of this Act; (ii) if the woman had not attained the age of eighteen (18) years at the time of the abortion
196 or has died as a result of the abortion, the parent or guardian of the pregnant woman; (iii) by a prosecuting attorney with
197 appropriate jurisdiction; or (iv) by the Attorney General. The injunction shall prevent the abortion provider from
198 performing or inducing or attempting to perform or induce further abortions in violation of this Act in this State. A cause
199 of action may not be maintained by a plaintiff if the pregnancy resulted from the plaintiff's criminal conduct.

200 (g) If judgment is rendered in favor of the plaintiff in an action described in this section, the court shall also render
201 judgment for a reasonable attorney's fee in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant.

202 (h) If judgment is rendered in favor of the defendant and the court finds that the plaintiff's suit was frivolous and
203 brought in bad faith, the court shall also render judgment for a reasonable attorney's fee in favor of the defendant against the
204 plaintiff.

205 (i) No damages or attorney's fee may be assessed against the woman upon whom an abortion was performed or
206 induced or attempted to be performed or induced except in accordance with subsection (h) of this section.

207 Section 2. Severability.

208 If any one or more provisions, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases or words of this Act or the
209 application thereof to any person or circumstance is found to be unconstitutional or temporarily or permanently restrained
210 or enjoined by judicial order, or both, the same is declared to be severable and the balance of this Act shall remain effective
211 notwithstanding such judicial decision, including for all other applications of each of the provisions, sections, subsections,
212 sentences, clauses, phrases or words of this Act: Provided, that whenever any judicial decision is stayed, dissolved, or
213 otherwise ceases to have effect, such provisions shall have full force and effect.

SYNOPSIS

The Act protects the life of the unborn child at a time when the potential for the child to survive outside the womb increases, especially with the advancement of medical procedures. Also, there is substantial medical evidence that an unborn child is capable of experiencing pain by 20 weeks after fertilization. The Delaware Legislature has the constitutional authority to make this judgment as shown in U.S. Supreme Court decisions as detailed in this Act.

In enacting this legislation Delaware is not asking the Supreme Court to overturn or replace the holding in Roe v. Wade. Rather, it asserts a separate and independent compelling state interest in unborn human life that exists once the unborn child is capable of experiencing pain.

Author: Senator Richardson