

SPONSOR: Sen. Richardson & Rep. Collins Sens. Hocker, Lawson, Pettyjohn, Wilson; Reps. Postles, D. Short, Vanderwende, Yearick

DELAWARE STATE SENATE 150th GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE BILL NO. 21

AN ACT TO AMEND TITLE 24 OF THE DELAWARE CODE RELATING TO UNBORN CHILDREN.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE:

1	Section 1. Sections 1790 through 1795 of Title 24 of the Delaware Code are hereby repealed.
2	Section 2. Amend § 1702, Title 24 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
3	insertions as shown by underline as follows:
4	§ 1702. Definitions.
5	The following definitions apply to this chapter unless otherwise expressly stated or implied by the context:
6	(19) "Viability" means the point in a pregnancy when, in a physician's good faith medical judgment based
7	on the factors of a patient's case, there is a reasonable likelihood of the fetus's sustained survival outside the uterus
8	without the application of extraordinary medical measures.
9	Section 3. Amend Chapter 17 of Title 24 of the Delaware Code by making deletions as shown by strike through and
10	insertions as shown by underline as follows:
11	Subchapter IX. Termination of Human Pregnancy The Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act.
12	§ 1790. Legislative Findings.
13	The General Assembly makes the following findings:
14	(1) There is substantial medical evidence that an unborn child is capable of experiencing pain by 20
15	weeks after fertilization.
16	a. Pain receptors, nociceptors, are present throughout an unborn child's entire body no later than 16
17	weeks after fertilization and nerves link these receptors to the brain's thalamus and subcortical plate by
18	no later than 20 weeks.
19	b. By 8 weeks after fertilization, the unborn child reacts to touch. After 20 weeks, the unborn child
20	reacts to stimuli that would be recognized as painful if applied to an adult human, for example, by
21	recoiling.

22	c. In the unborn child, application of painful stimuli is associated with significant increases in stress
23	hormones known as the stress response.
24	d. Subjection to painful stimuli is associated with long-term harmful neuro developmental effects,
25	such as altered pain sensitivity and, possibly, emotional, behavioral, and learning disabilities later in life.
26	e. For the purposes of surgery on unborn children, fetal anesthesia is routinely administered and is
27	associated with a decrease in stress hormones compared to their level when painful stimuli is applied
28	without the anesthesia.
29	f. The position, asserted by some medical experts, that the unborn child is incapable of experiencing
30	pain until a point later in pregnancy than 20 weeks after fertilization, predominately rests on the
31	assumption that the ability to experience pain depends on the cerebral cortex and requires nerve
32	connections between the thalamus and the cortex. However, recent medical research and analysis,
33	especially since 2007, provides strong evidence for the conclusion that a functioning cortex is not
34	necessary to experience pain.
35	g. Substantial evidence indicates that children born missing the bulk of the cerebral cortex, those
36	with hydranencephaly, experience pain.
37	h. In adults, stimulation or ablation of the cerebral cortex does not alter pain perception while
38	stimulation or ablation of the thalamus does.
39	i. Substantial evidence indicates that structures used for pain processing in early development differ
40	from those of adults, using different neural elements available at specific times during development, such
41	as the subcortical plate, to fulfill the role of pain processing.
42	(2) The Legislature has the constitutional authority to make the findings under this section. As the United
43	States Supreme Court noted in Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124, 162-64 (2007), "[t]he Court has given state and
44	federal legislatures wide discretion to pass legislation in areas where there is medical and scientific
45	uncertaintySee Marshall v. United States, 414 U.S. 417, 427 (1974) ('When Congress undertakes to act in
46	areas fraught with medical and scientific uncertainties, legislative options must be especially broad.')The law
47	need not give abortion doctors unfettered choice in the course of their medical practice, nor should it elevate their
48	status above other physicians in the medical community Medical uncertainty does not foreclose the exercise of
49	legislative power in the abortion context any more than it does in other contexts."
50	(3) It is the purpose of the State to assert a compelling state interest in protecting the lives of unborn
51	children from the stage at which substantial medical evidence indicates that they are capable of feeling pain.

52	(4) The position, asserted by some medical experts, that the unborn child remains in a coma-like sleep
53	state that precludes the unborn child experiencing pain is inconsistent with the documented reaction of unborn
54	children to painful stimuli and with the experience of fetal surgeons who have found it necessary to sedate the
55	unborn child with anesthesia to prevent the unborn child from thrashing about in reaction to invasive surgery.
56	(5) In enacting this subchapter, the State is not asking the Supreme Court to overturn or replace its
57	holding, first articulated in Roe v. Wade, and reaffirmed in Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v.
58	Casey, that the state interest in unborn human life, which is "legitimate" throughout pregnancy, becomes
59	"compelling" at viability. Rather, the State asserts a separate and independent compelling state interest in unborn
60	human life that exists once the unborn child is capable of feeling pain, which is asserted not in replacement of, but
61	in addition to Delaware's compelling state interest in protecting the lives of unborn children from the stage of
62	viability under the following United States Supreme Court decisions:
63	a. Establishing that the "constitutional liberty of the woman to have some freedom to terminate her
64	pregnancyis not so unlimitedthat from the outset the State cannot show its concern for the life of the
65	unborn, and at a later point in fetal development the State's interest in life has sufficient force so that the
66	right of the woman to terminate the pregnancy can be restricted." Planned Parenthood of Southeastern
67	Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992).
67 68	
	Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992).
68	 <u>Pennsylvania v. Casey</u>, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). <u>b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act</u>, <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007).
68 69	 <u>Pennsylvania v. Casey</u>, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). <u>b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act</u>, <u>Gonzales v. Carhart</u>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), <u>vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth</u>
68 69 70	 <u>Pennsylvania v. Casey</u>, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), <u>vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth</u> <u>Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated</u>, "[In <i>Casey</i>] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch
68 69 70 71	 Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In <i>Casey</i>] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion <i>Casey</i> is premised on the States
68 69 70 71 72	 Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In Casey] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion Casey is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with
68 69 70 71 72 73	 Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In <i>Casey</i>] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion <i>Casey</i> is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in
68 69 70 71 72 73 74	 Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In Casey] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion Casey is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in
68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75	 Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, <i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In <i>Casey</i>] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion <i>Casey</i> is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State's reasonable determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life, including life in the
 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 	Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In Casey] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion Casey is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State's reasonable determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life, including life in the human fetusA state may take measures to ensure the medical profession and its members are viewed
 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 	Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In Casey] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion Casey is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State's reasonable determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life, including life in the human fetusA state may take measures to ensure the medical profession and its members are viewed as healers, sustained by a compassionate and rigorous ethic and cognizant of the dignity and value of
 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 	Pennsylvania v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). b. Upholding the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), vindicated the dissenting opinion in the earlier decision that had struck down Nebraska's Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. That opinion stated, "[In Casey] [w]e held it was inappropriate for the Judicial Branch to provide an exhaustive list of state interests implicated by abortion Casey is premised on the States having an important constitutional role in defining their interests in the abortion debate. It is only with this principle in mind that [a state's] interests can be given proper weightStates also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State's reasonable determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life, including life in the human fetusA state may take measures to ensure the medical profession and its members are viewed as healers, sustained by a compassionate and rigorous ethic and cognizant of the dignity and value of human life, even life which cannot survive without the assistance of others." Stenberg v. Carhart, 350

82	made applicable to a particular statute is of greater weight than a general savings or severability clause, it is the
83	intent of the state that if any one or more provisions, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases or words of
84	this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is found to be unconstitutional, the same is hereby
85	declared to be severable and the balance of this subchapter remains effective notwithstanding such
86	unconstitutionality. Moreover, the State declares that it would have enacted this subchapter, and each provision,
87	section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more
88	provisions, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words, or any of their applications, were to be
89	declared unconstitutional.
90	(7) In creating a Certificate of Birth Resulting in Stillbirth, the 149th General Assembly recognized the
91	potential for human pregnancy to result in the birth of a baby.
92	§ 1791. Definitions.
93	For the purposes of this subchapter:
94	(1) "Abortion" means the use or prescription of any instrument, medicine, drug, or any other substance or
95	device to do any of the following:
96	a. Intentionally kill the unborn child of a women known to be pregnant.
97	b. To intentionally terminate the pregnancy of a woman known to be pregnant, with an intention to
98	do other than either of the following:
99	1. After viability, produce a live birth and preserve the life and health of the child born alive.
100	2. Remove a dead unborn child.
101	(2) "Attempt to perform or induce and abortion" means an act or an omission of a statutorily-required act
102	that, under the circumstances as the person believes them to be, constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct
103	planned to culminate in the performance or induction of an abortion in this State in violation of the applicable
104	provisions of this subchapter.
105	(3) "Fertilization" means the fusion of a human spermatozoon with a human ovum.
106	(4) "Unborn child" or "fetus" each mean an individual organism of the species homo sapiens from
107	fertilization until live birth.
108	(5) "Medical emergency" means a condition that, in reasonable medical judgment, so complicates the
109	medical condition of a pregnant female that it necessitates the immediate abortion of her pregnancy without first
110	determining post-fertilization age to avert her death or for which the delay necessary to determine post-fertilization
111	age will create serious risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not

112	including psychological or emotional conditions. No condition may be deemed a medical emergency if based on a
113	claim or diagnosis that the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial
114	and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function.
115	(6) "Physician" means an individual authorized to practice medicine under subchapter III, Chapter 17 of
116	this title.
117	(7) "Probable post-fertilization age of the fetus" means what, in reasonable medical judgment and will,
118	with reasonable probability, will be the post-fertilization age of the fetus at the time an abortion is planned to be
119	performed or induced.
120	(8) "Reasonable medical judgment" means a medical judgment that would be made by a reasonably
121	prudent physician, knowledgeable about the case and the treatment possibilities with respect to the medical
122	conditions involved.
123	(9) "Post-fertilization age" means the age of the unborn child as calculated from the fusion of a human
124	spermatozoon with a human ovum.
125	(10) "Serious health risk to the unborn child's mother" means that with reasonable medical judgment, the
126	unborn child's mother has a condition that so complicates her medical condition that it necessitates the abortion of
127	her pregnancy to avert her death or to avert serious risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a
128	major bodily function, not including psychological or emotional conditions. No greater risk may be determined to
129	exist if it is based on a claim or diagnosis that the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her
130	death or in substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function.
131	(11) "Department" means the Department of Health and Social Services.
132	§ 1792. Determination of post-fertilization age.
133	Except in the case of a medical emergency, no abortion may be performed or induced, or be attempted to be
134	performed or induced, unless the physician performing or inducing the abortion has first made a determination of the
135	probable post-fertilization age of the fetus or relied upon such a determination made by another physician. In making this
136	determination, the physician shall make inquiries of the patient and perform or cause to be performed medical examinations
137	and tests as a reasonably prudent physician, knowledgeable about the case and the medical conditions involved, would
138	consider necessary to perform in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to post-fertilization age.
139	§ 1793. Abortion of fetus of pain-capable post-fertilization age prohibited.
140	(a) No person may perform or induce, or attempt to perform or induce, an abortion of an unborn child capable of
141	feeling pain unless necessary to prevent serious health risk to the unborn child's mother.

142	(1) No person may perform or induce, or attempt to perform or induce, an abortion when it has been
143	determined, by the physician performing or inducing or attempting to perform or induce the abortion or by another
144	physician upon whose determination that physician relies, that the probable post-fertilization age of the fetus has
145	reached the pain-capable post-fertilization age of 20 or more weeks, unless in reasonable medical judgment there
146	exists a condition of the pregnant woman that, in reasonable medical judgment, so complicates her medical
147	condition as to necessitate the abortion of her pregnancy to avert her death or to avert serious risk of substantial
148	and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including psychological or emotional
149	conditions.
150	(2) No condition may be deemed a medical emergency if based on a claim or diagnosis that the woman
151	will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible physical
152	impairment of a major bodily function.
153	(b) When performing or inducing an abortion upon a patient under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the physician
154	shall terminate the pregnancy in the manner which, in reasonable medical judgment, provides the best opportunity for the
155	fetus to survive, unless, in reasonable medical judgment, termination of the pregnancy in that manner would pose a greater
156	risk either of the death of the patient or of the substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function of
157	the patient than would other available methods. No greater risk may be determined to exist if it is based on a claim or
158	diagnosis that the woman will engage in conduct which she intends to result in her death or in substantial and irreversible
159	physical impairment of a major bodily function.
160	<u>§ 1794. Reporting.</u>
161	(a) A physician shall report all instances when the physician performs or induces or attempts to perform or induce
162	an abortion to the Department. The reporting must be on a schedule and on forms set forth by the Department but no less
163	frequently than by December 31. The reports must include all of the following information:
164	(1) Probable post-fertilization age, including either of the following:
165	a. If a determination of probable post-fertilization age was made, whether ultrasound was employed
166	in making the determination, and the week of probable post-fertilization age determined.
167	b. If a determination of probable post-fertilization age was not made, the bases of the determination
168	that a medical emergency existed.
169	(2) Method of abortion.
170	(3) If the probable post-fertilization age was determined to have reached the pain-capable post-
171	fertilization age of 20 weeks or greater, the basis of the determination that the patient had a condition which so

- 172 complicated the medical condition of the patient that it necessitated the abortion of her pregnancy in order to avert
- her death or avert a serious risk of substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not
 including psychological or emotional conditions.

175 (4) If the probable post-fertilization age was determined to have reached the pain-capable post-

- 176 <u>fertilization age of 20 weeks or greater, whether the method of abortion used was one that, in reasonable medical</u>
- 177 judgment, provided the best opportunity for the fetus to survive and, if such a method was not used, the basis of
- 178 the determination that termination of the pregnancy in that manner would pose a greater risk either of the death of
- 179 the patient or of the substantial and irreversible physical impairment of a major bodily function, not including
- 180 psychological or emotional conditions of the patient than would other available methods.
- 181 (b) Reports required by subsection (a) of this section may not contain the name or the address of the patient whose

182 pregnancy was terminated, nor may the report contain any information identifying the patient. These reports must be

- 183 maintained in strict confidence by the Department, may not be available for public inspection, and may not be made
- 184 available except pursuant to court order.
- 185 (c) Beginning June 30, 2019, and annually after that, the Department shall issue a public report providing statistics
- 186 for the previous calendar year compiled from all of the reports covering that year submitted in accordance with this section
- 187 for each of the items listed in subsection (a) of this section. Each report must provide the statistics for all previous calendar
- 188 years from the effective date of this section, adjusted to reflect any additional information from late or corrected reports.
- 189 The Department shall take care to ensure that none of the information included in the public reports could reasonably lead
- 190 to the identification of any patient upon whom an abortion was performed or induced or attempted to be performed or
- 191 <u>induced.</u>
- 192 <u>§1895. Penalties.</u>
- 193 (a) Any person who intentionally or recklessly performs or induces, or attempts to perform or induce, an abortion
- 194 in violation of this Act shall be guilty of a class D felony. Any physician or other licensed medical practitioner who
- 195 intentionally or recklessly performs or induces an abortion in violation of this subchapter is considered to have acted
- 196 <u>outside the scope of practice permitted by law or otherwise in breach of the standard of care owed to patients and is subject</u>
- 197 to discipline from the applicable licensure board for that conduct, including loss of professional license to practice.
- 198 (b) Any person, not subject to subsection (a) of this section, who intentionally or recklessly performs or induces an
- 199 abortion in violation of this subchapter is considered to have engaged in the unauthorized practice of medicine in violation
- 200 of this chapter.

201 (c) In addition to the penalties set forth in subsections (a) and (b) of this section, a patient may seek a remedy 202 otherwise available to such patient by applicable law. 203 (d) No penalty may be assessed against a patient upon whom an abortion is performed or induced, or attempted to 204 be performed or induced. 205 (e) A woman upon whom an abortion has been performed or induced in violation of this subchapter, or the father 206 of the unborn child who was the subject of such an abortion, may maintain an action against the person who performed or 207 induced the abortion in intentional or reckless violation of this subchapter for actual and punitive damages. A woman upon 208 whom an abortion has been attempted in violation of this subchapter may maintain an action against the person who 209 attempted to perform or induce the abortion in an intentional or reckless violation of this subchapter for actual and punitive 210 damages. No damages may be awarded to a plaintiff if the pregnancy resulted from the plaintiff's criminal conduct. 211 (f)(1) A cause of action for injunctive relief against any person who has intentionally or recklessly violated this 212 subchapter may be maintained by any of the following: 213 a. A woman upon whom an abortion was performed or induced or attempted to be performed or 214 induced in violation of this subchapter. 215 b. If a woman had not attained the age of 18 years at the time of the abortion or has died as a result of 216 the abortion, the parent or guardian of the pregnant woman. 217 c. The Department of Justice. 218 (2) An injunction under this subsection (f) may prevent the abortion provider from performing or 219 inducing or attempting to perform or induce further abortions in violation of this subchapter in this State. 220 (3) A cause of action under this subsection (f) may not be maintained by a plaintiff if the pregnancy 221 resulted from the plaintiff's criminal conduct. 222 (g) If judgment is rendered in favor of the plaintiff in an action described in this section, the court shall also render 223 judgment for a reasonable attorney's fee in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant. 224 (h) If judgment is rendered in favor of the defendant and the court finds that the plaintiff's suit was frivolous and 225 brought in bad faith, the court shall also render judgment for a reasonable attorney's fee in favor of the defendant against the 226 plaintiff. 227 (i) No damages or attorney's fee may be assessed against the woman upon whom an abortion was performed or 228 induced or attempted to be performed or induced except in accordance with subsection (h) of this section. 229 Section 3. Severability.

- 230 If any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity
- 231 does not affect other provisions or applications of the Act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or
- application; and, to that end, the provisions of this Act are declared to be severable.
- 233 If any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be held invalid,
- unenforceable, or unconstitutional, the remainder of such provisions, and the application of such provisions to any person
- 235 or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected.

SYNOPSIS

The Act protects the life of the unborn child at a time when the potential for the child to survive outside the womb increases, especially with the advancement of medical procedures. Specifically, this Act repeals the current sections of the Delaware Code relating to termination of human pregnancy and enacts The Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act.

Substantial medical evidence exists that an unborn child is capable of experiencing pain by 20 weeks after fertilization. As set forth in this Act, the General Assembly has the constitutional authority to make this judgment under decisions by the U.S. Supreme Court decisions. In enacting The Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, Delaware is not asking the U.S. Supreme Court to overturn or replace the holding in Roe v. Wade. Rather, it asserts a separate and independent compelling state interest in unborn human life that exists once the unborn child is capable of experiencing pain.

Author: Senator Richardson